

Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2024 - 2025 School Report



Richmond County
Goshen Elementary School

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal | Brandi James |
| Team Member # 2 | Assistant Principal | LaTonya Graham |
| Team Member # 3 | Tara Williams | Instructioanl Specialist |
| Team Member # 4 | Brittnye Sosebee | MTSS Facilitator |
| Team Member # 5 | Courtney Sahm | Counselor |
| Team Member # 6 | Christina Sisk | EIP teacher |
| Team Member # 7 | Aurndrea Evans | Gifted teacher |

Additional Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Keri Cross | Media Specialist |
| Team Member # 2 | Janice McCleery | Special Ed |
| Team Member # 3 | | |
| Team Member # 4 | | |
| Team Member # 5 | | |
| Team Member # 6 | | |
| Team Member # 7 | | |
| Team Member # 8 | | |
| Team Member # 9 | | |
| Team Member # 10 | | |

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the Planning and Preparation webinar for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | Pine Hill Baptist | Shane Arnett |
| Stakeholder # 2 | Liberty Church | Liberty Church |
| Stakeholder # 3 | Solvay | Richard Hand |
| Stakeholder # 4 | Bambinos | Bambinos |
| Stakeholder # 5 | | |
| Stakeholder # 6 | | |
| Stakeholder # 7 | | |
| Stakeholder # 8 | | |

| How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process? | The team will provide surveys and discussion sessions through the school council, parent meetings and leadership meetings to discuss the data and how to move our students forward. |
|--|---|
|--|---|

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Coherent Instructional System webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning. | |
| | Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 2. Operational | A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning. Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 3. Emerging | A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning. Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning. Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |

| Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process. These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. | |
| 2. Operational | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards. These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff. | |
| 3. Emerging | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 1 | -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning | |
|------------------------|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. | |
| | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | |
| | Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria align curriculum standards | | ed to |
|---|---|-------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 4 | -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learn | ning |
|------------------------|---|------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 5 | -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students | |
|------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |

| Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | |
| 2. Operational | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets | | ng targets |
|---|--|------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| | Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor progress | | their own |
|--|---|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. | |
| | The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner. | |

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| | Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment. | |
| 2. Operational | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |

| Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices | | tudent |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes. | |
| | The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |

| Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |

| Assessment Standard 5 -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress o the required standards | | progress on |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 2. Operational | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 3. Emerging | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <u>Effective Leadership webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 1 | -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff | |
|-----------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, familiae, and community stakeholders. | |
| 2. Operational | families, and community stakeholders. Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |

| Leadership Standard 2 | 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learn | ing |
|-----------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. | |
| | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. | |
| | The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning. | |

| Leadership Standard 3 -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, in and professional learning practices | | nstruction, |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| | The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| 3. Emerging | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | _ |

| Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievemen | | nt |
|--|--|----|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | ng |
|--|---|----|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2 Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and | |
| 2. Operational | problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | _ |

| Leadership Standard 6 learning | -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused | l on student |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning. The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan. | |
| 2. Operational | A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning. The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation. | |

| Leadership Standard 7 -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations. | |
| | A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| | Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations. | ✓ |
| | Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations. | |
| | Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations. | |
| | Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |

| Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual | |
| | needs is provided to teachers and other staff. | |
| 2. Operational | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual | ✓ |
| | needs. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual | |
| | needs. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school cul guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders. | |
| | The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. | |
| | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement. | |
| 2. Operational | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. | |
| | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process. | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders. | |
| | The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance. | |
| | This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff. | |
| 2. Operational | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders. The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance. | |
| 3. Emerging | A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders. The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and radjustments as needed | | makes |
|---|---|-------|
| 1. Exemplary | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance. Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data. | |
| 2. Operational | he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance. Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data. | |
| 3. Emerging | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored. School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment. | |
| 2. Operational | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored. | |
| 3. Emerging | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed. | |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented. In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 6 -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing | | |
|--|--|----------|
| safe, clean, and inviting | g learning environment | |
| 1. Exemplary | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment. A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment. The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment. The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment. The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Professional Capacity webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of data | | of a variety |
|---|---|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). | |
| 3. Emerging | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and stafenhance individual and collective performance | | ff to |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). | |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various le needs of the staff | | earning |
|--|--|---------|
| 1. Exemplary | Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks). Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching. | |
| 2. Operational | Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs. Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning. Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff pand student learning | | practices |
|---|---|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively. Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely. | |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Family and Community Engagement webinar for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's KIDS COUNT for additional data.

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | |
| | Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. | |
| 2. Operational | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. | |
| 2. Operational | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |

| | Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students | | |
|----------------|--|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being. Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making. | | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students. | | |
| 3. Emerging | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement. | ✓ | |
| 4. Not Evident | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success. | | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student | | |
|--|--|----------|
| achievement status to families | | |
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols). | |
| | Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year. | √ |
| | Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year. | |
| | Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations. | |
| | Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the Supportive Learning Environment webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own | |
| | actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | _ |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, | |
| | exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school. These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented. | |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated. | |

| School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community | | ns and a |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community. | |

| School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. | |
| | The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success. | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | |

| School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students. | |

| School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. | |
| | The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school. | |
| 2. Operational | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders. | |
| | The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. | |
| | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement. | |
| 2. Operational | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. | |
| | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process. | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

What perception data did you use? [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]

- health surveys
- student surveys
- teacher/personnel surveys
- parent surveys
- Panorama Benchmark Screeners/SEL

What does the perception data tell you? (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")

The perception data (Title I Survey) tells us teachers and parents agree students should be performing at a higher level. Students (Panorama) feel like their school wants them to do well and their school has clear rules for behavior.

What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)

- Participation Rate of Parent Workshops
- Parent Participation in conferences, workshops, trainings, and programs
- Student participation in extracurricular activities, master schedule of course offerings, professional learning survey feedback from parents and teachers.
- Participation of Counseling Sessions

What does the process data tell you? (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question "What did you do for whom?")

Parents were more involved when their students were performing or participating in activities such as field day, honors day and plays.

| What achievement data did you use? | i-ReadyGMAS dataCMAs |
|---|--|
| | |
| What does your achievement data tell you? | 26.7% of our students in 3rd, 4th, and 5th scored proficient/distinguished in ELA 44.8% of our students in 3rd, 4th, and 5th scored developing in ELA 28.5% of our students in 3rd, 4th, and 5th scored beginning learner in ELA |
| | |
| What demographic data did you use? | We used all of the subgroups that are present at our school(White-Non Hispanic, Hispanic, Black- Non Hispanic, Mixed Race, Asian, Pacific Islander, ESOL, and SPED. |
| | |
| What does the demographic data tell you? | The SWD sub-group is not performing at or above grade level. Students with disabilities are performing slightly better with mathematics than reading. |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the Identifying Needwebinar for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Coherent Instructional:Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Important Trends and Patterns (Emerging Areas):

- Collaborative Planning
- Climate and Culture
- Curriculum Resources with alignment
- Challenging Learning Environment

Effective Leadership:Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Important Trends and Patterns (Emerging Areas):

- Collaborative Planning
- Climate and Culture
- Sustaining change
- systematically analyzing data
- building capacity

Professional Capacity:Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Important Trends and Patterns (Emerging Areas):

- systematically analyzing data
- building capacity
- collaboration between administration and staff
- professional learning

Family and Community
Engagement:Summarize the family and
community engagement trends and
patterns observed by the team while
completing this section of the report.
What are the important trends and
patterns that will support the identification

of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Important Trends and Patterns (Emerging Areas):

- communication
- limited relationships
- parent workshops

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Supportive Learning

Environment:Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Important Trends and Patterns (Emerging Areas):

- challenging learning environment
- student accountability
- consistency
- climate and culture

Demographic and Financial:Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Important Trends and Patterns (Emerging Areas):

- 1 sub group (special needs)
- 39% of special needs students scored developing or above
- consolidated budget (salaries)

Student Achievement:Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

3rd-5th ELA 44.8% scored Beginning Learner 28.5% scored developing Learner 23.08% scored Proficient Learner 3.62% scored Distinguished Learner

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Strengths | Previous data shows special needs students perform better in Math |
|-----------|---|
| | than reading (pending Math GMAS scores) |
| | Special Needs students received Corrective Reading and Reading |
| | Mastery to improve reading skills |
| | 5th grade students have received SRA for the past 3 years |
| | |

NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the Identifying Need webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Challenges | 2/7 students in 3rd grade passed ELA GMAS 2/16 students in 4th grade passed ELA GMAS 9/19 students in 5th grade passed ELA GMAS 30% of special needs students passed ELA GMAS long term sub in 3rd grade (23-24 school year) lacking phonics skills |
|------------|---|
| | Tacking phonics skins |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

| Overarching Need | 44.08% students in grades 3rd-5th scored beginning learner on ELA GMAS |
|--------------------------------|--|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | No Change |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be | Yes |
| Identified? | |
| Priority Order | 1 |

| Additional Considerations |
|---------------------------|
|---------------------------|

Overarching Need # 2

| Overarching Need | Create a positive culture and climate |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | Worse |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be | Yes |
| Identified? | |
| Priority Order | 2 |

| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need #3

NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

Overarching Need #3

| Overarching Need | 42% of students in grades in k-5 are one grade level below in math |
|--------------------------------|--|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | No Change |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be | Yes |
| Identified? | |
| Priority Order | 3 |

| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u>. After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - 44.08% students in grades 3rd-5th scored beginning learner on ELA GMAS

Root Cause #1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Tier 1 instruction has lack of rigor and differentiated instruction that addresses each of our subgroups. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can | Yes |
| affect | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth |
| | Program |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - Create a positive culture and climate

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | lack of school wide behavior plan - lack of communication |
|--------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can | Yes |
| affect | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |

Root Cause # 1

| Impacted Programs | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
|-------------------|--|
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth |
| | Program |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - 42% of students in grades in k-5 are one grade level below in math

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | lack of tier 1 instruction - lack of manipulative usage - lack of Number Talks |
|--------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can | Yes |
| affect | |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth |
| | Program |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|



School Improvement Plan 2024 - 2025



Richmond County
Goshen Elementary School

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| District | Richmond County |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| School Name | Goshen Elementary School |
| Team Lead | Meredith Godowns |
| Federal Funding Options to Be | Consolidation of Funds – Fund 150: Consolidation of State/Local and Federal |
| | Funds |
| this Plan (Select all that apply) | |
| Select the Funds that the LEA | Title I, Part A |
| anticipates will be consolidated | |

| Fac | Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) | |
|----------|---|--|
| | Free/Reduced meal application | |
| √ | Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY | |
| | Other (if selected, please describe below) | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.1 Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified | 44.08% students in grades 3rd-5th scored beginning learner on ELA GMAS |
|--------------------------------|--|
| in CNA Section 3.2 | |
| Root Cause # 1 | Tier 1 instruction has lack of rigor and differentiated instruction that addresses |
| | each of our subgroups. |
| Goal | By May 2025, 20% (93 students) will increase by one grade level as measured by |
| | the iReady EOY Reading Diagnostic. |

| Action Step | 1. To improve Tier 1 instruction through the use of My View |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Focus Walks, Surveys, Lesson plans, Collaborative Planning Minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Passed Vocabulary and comprehension lessons in iReady, CMAs, BiWeekly |
| Effectiveness | Fluency assessments |
| Position/Role Responsible | Instructional Specialist |
| | Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Rhonda Mathis to redeliver all the components of My View. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Rhonda Mathis to redeliver all the components of My View. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Model and provide professional learning on the planning and implementation of differentiated instruction. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | focus walks, lesson plans, and peer observations |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Passed Vocabulary and comprehension lessons in iReady, CMAs, BiWeekly |
| Effectiveness | Fluency assessments |
| Position/Role Responsible | Instructional Specialist, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | 3. To improve Tier 1 instruction through the use of Fundations., Kindergarten-3rd grades. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Focus Walks, Surveys, Lesson plans, Collaborative Planning Minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Passed Vocabulary and comprehension lessons in iReady, CMAs, BiWeekly |
| Effectiveness | Fluency assessments |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators., Instructional Specialist |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Emily Hale to redeliver Fundations |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Special Needs Teachers will use Corrective Reading and Reading Mastery during the school wide intervention block. |
|-----------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |

| Systems | Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
|---|---|
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Lesson plans, Master Schedule, and Focus Walks |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Unit Assessments, Fundations Checks, and iReady Assessments |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators/Instructional Specialist |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Redeliver Corrective Reading and Reading Mastery - Ashley Nale |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.2 Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as iden | tified Create a positive culture and climate |
|--------------------------|--|
| in CNA Section 3.2 | |
| Root Cause # 1 | lack of school wide behavior plan - lack of communication |
| Goal | By May 2025, we will have an effective PBIS plan as measured by 10% increase |
| | in students missing fewer than 10 days and a decrease in major referrals by 50%. |

| Action Step | Staff will develop an effective schoolwide PBIS plan. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Small group feedback |
| Implementation | completed PBIS plan |
| | PBIS artifacts displayed |
| Method for Monitoring | Monthly attendance review meetings and infinite campus reports |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Instructional Specialist, Administrators, Counselor, MTSS,PBIS Team, All |
| | Goshen Staff |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based |
| organizations, or any private |
| entity with a demonstrated |
| record of success is the LEA |
| implementing in carrying out |
| this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | 2.Teachers will be trained and supported in effective use of Spotlight. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | grade level plans for use of spotlight |
| Implementation | spotlight reports |
| Method for Monitoring | Monthly attendance review meetings and infinite campus reports |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Instructional Specialist, Administrators, Counselor, MTSS, PBIS Team, All |
| | Goshen Staff |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Redelivery from Courtney Bell |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | 3. Hold monthly review attendance team meetings. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | meeting minutes |
| Implementation | sign in |
| Method for Monitoring | Infinite Campus Reports |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Counselor |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | support from IT of how to pull a variety of reports |
|---------------------------------|---|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.3 Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified | 42% of students in grades in k-5 are one grade level below in math |
|--------------------------------|--|
| in CNA Section 3.2 | · |
| Root Cause # 1 | lack of tier 1 instruction - lack of manipulative usage - lack of Number Talks |
| Goal | By May 2025, 25% (106 students) in grades K-5th will increase by one grade level |
| | as measured by the iReady EOY Math Diagnostic. |

| Action Step | Provide professional learning on Number Talks. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Professional Learning Agendas, Sin-In Sheets, Lesson Plans, Focus Walks |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | Monitor lessons passed in number sense and numbers in operations |
| Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Instructional Specialist, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | support with Moving with Math/Number Talks |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Professional Learning to use INSPIRE as a compliment to our Ready Math. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Professional Learning Agendas, Sign-In Sheets, Lesson Plans, Focus Walks |
| Implementation | |
| Method for Monitoring | CMAs |
| Effectiveness | iReady Lessons Passed |
| Position/Role Responsible | Instructional Specialist, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Support will be needed from Teaching Learning - to Redeliver INSPIRE |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based | |
| organizations, or any private | |
| entity with a demonstrated | |
| record of success is the LEA | |
| implementing in carrying out | |
| this action step(s)? | |

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

Required Questions

1. In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders).

The School Improvement Team which consists of teachers, support staff and school leaders met to review the data and to note trends and patterns in our data.

2. Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.

Tier one instruction is essential to student success. Student reading outcomes can be improved tremendously by intentional and explicit planning of both literacy and numeracy instruction. Providing professional learning to all K-5 teachers on planning consistent daily vocabulary and numeracy lessons is a strong indicator of student success. Providing students personalized learning activities coupled with explicit instruction will help them to link prior knowledge and create meaning for them. With these supports and requirements in place for all teachers, we will ensure that all students receive instruction from teachers who are trained and can address their academic needs.

3. Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I school. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).

The Title 1 instructional program is currently providing resources, professional learning , personnel support and materials to support the action steps for each school improvement goal which focuses on the subject areas of ELA and Math. The Title 1 program supports all students to include our targeted subgroups(White- Non Hispanic, Black- Non- Hispanic, SPED, ESOL, Mixed Race and Asian/ Pacific Islander).

4. If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to

Child Find is a tool that we use to identify students in need of additional academic support. It can also identify students who need additional services as well. We will also develop a survey for parents to see if they would like additional academic support for their student(s).

| rank students for service. Also include a | |
|---|---|
| Tank students for service. Also include a | |
| description of the measurable scale (point | |
| description of the measurable scale (point | 4 |
| system) that uses the objective criteria to | |
| system inat uses the objective offeria to | |
| rank all students. | |
| Tank an students. | |

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

Required Questions

5. If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.

GES has two preschool classrooms as well as a special education PreK classroom that provides educational services to our preschoolers and is valued by the community. Teachers provide several open houses for parents and students to meet the teacher and to receive information about the program, food services and transportation. In the spring, there is an orientation for all rising kindergarten students and their parents so that they can be better prepared for the transition. Students will be provided opportunities to visit Kindergarten teachers and classrooms to learn routines and expectations. All incoming students are screened to assess readiness levels so that students in need of intervention are identified early.

6. If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and lncreased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.

Since GES is an elementary school, our students transition to middle school . As part of this process, fifth grade is departmentalized in order to help students transition to the middle school schedule. We also work with the feeder middle school to coordinate a middle school visit for fifth grade students. Several magnet schools who offer middle grades also send students to speak to the fifth grade students about their middle school offerings as well/

7. Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.

At GES, multi-tier levels of support are in place for attendance, academics, and behavior concerns. Tier 1 provides school-wide expectations and acknowledgements, Tier 2 targets practices that address needs of students with challenges, and Tier 3 provides more individualized and persistent support. Such examples are attendance contracts, incentives, competitions, flowcharts outlining expectations and interventions at the teacher, guidance and administrative level, MTSS, 504, school team data analysis, and collaborative planning. Through this process, we will support students with behavioral challenges and provide them with the tools and supports that they need in order to reduce, manage or eliminate undesired behaviors.

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

8. Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan.

Last year's SIP was a continuation of evidence-based steps in vocabulary and number sense. GES was able to monitor and implement quality teacher-created vocabulary and numeracy strategies. Personalized learning opportunities were increased with the implementation technology. In-school professional learning sessions supported by paying for substitute teachers to cover classes during the day were attended by teachers across all grade levels and provided a foundation for disseminating research-based vocabulary and numeracy strategies throughout the school.